



# Form 5

Submission on a notified proposal for Private Plan Change 85 – Mangawhai East

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Submitter details				
( <b>Please note</b> that	any fields with an asterisk (*) are requ	uired fields and must b	e completed)	
First name*				
Surname*				
Agent (if applicabl	e)			
Postal address*				
			Postcode	
Contact phone Daytime phone		Mobile phone		
Email address for Submitter*				
Email address for Agent (if applicable)				
Please select your preferred method of contact* By email By post				
Correspondence to* Submitter (you) Agent Both			Both	



## Submission on application

## This is a submission on a private plan change

Please complete this form if you wish to make a submission to a current plan change that is open for submissions.

Plan change number: **PPC85** Plan change name: **Mangawhai East** 

The purpose of the plan change is to:

**a.** Rezone approximately 94 hectares of rural zoned land within the Mangawhai Harbour overlay to a mix of residential and commercial zoned land as follows:

•	Large Lot Residential	6.3 ha
•	Low Density Residential	45.5 ha
•	Medium Density Residential	12.5 ha
•	Neighbourhood Centre	2.7 ha
•	Mixed Use	2.2 ha
•	Rural Lifestyle	24.7 ha

Total Area = 94 ha

- **b.** Create a Development Area containing a suite of planning provisions to control and manage subdivision, use and development within the Plan change area.
- **c.** Apply a Coastal Hazard overlay over the land area identified to be potentially subject to coastal hazard where the effects of potential mitigation measures will need to be managed.
- **d.** Include Ecological features maps to convey areas of ecological sensitivity for future protection.
- **e.** Incorporate a Structure Plan into the Development Area to visually depict key features and outcomes required.
- f. Make any necessary consequential amendments to the Kaipara District Plan Maps.

Trade competition and adverse effects (select one of the following options) \*

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you ticked 'I could' above, please answer this question by selecting one option below:

I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

#### Note:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through making a submission on PPC85 you may only make a submission if you are directly affected by an effect of PPC85 that adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition of the effect of trade completion: Clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

No

If others make a similar submission, will you consider presenting a joint case

Yes

No
with them in the hearing?

# Please complete a line for every submission point, adding as many additional lines as you need.

**Note:** This form is intended for brief submission only, if you wish to provide us with more in-depth content, please do this on a separate page and attach it to this form when returning it to us.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	Do you:     Support?     Oppose?	What decision are you seeking from Council? Select which action you would like: Retain Amend Add Delete	Reasons
Example: Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai
DEV XP3 - 2 Transportation and Connectivity	Oppose	Amend the policy to require a roundabout	A right hand turn bay will be insufficient
DEV XP4 - Biodiversity and Ecological Values Section E	Oppose	Amend this policy to enable the existing land owners to have cats in perpetuity	The submitters and property owners subject to the PPC do not agree with having the restriction on cats applied to them when they have cats and would like to continue so into the future. This rule is an unfair burden.
Business Neighbourhood and Mixed Use Centre Zone, Objectives and Policies and Rules	Oppose	Delete	Mangawhai has a number of commercial and business areas already which has created a level of urban commercial sprawl throughout Mangawhai and Mangawhai Heads and another commercial area will potentially emphases the adhoc commercial sprawl through the area and create adverse amenity effects.



Note: This form is intended	ed for brief submissi	on point, adding as many add on only, if you wish to provide u	us with more in-depth content
please do this on a separ The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	ate page and attach  Do you:  Support? Oppose?	it to this form when returning it  What decision are you seeking from Council?  Select which action you would like:  Retain  Amend  Add  Delete	Reasons
<b>Example:</b> Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai
DEV-XLU R4 - Home Business	Oppose	Amend the rule to allow the continuation of existing businesses by the existing landowners that currently operate from home to continue.	We run home businesses or wish to in the future that wouldn't comply with this rule.
DEV-XLU R4 - Home Business	Oppose	Amend the rule to allow the existing landowners to undertake home businesses at their discretion without needing to comply with this rule	We run home businesses or wish to in the future that wouldn't comply with this rule.
DEV - XLU - R6 - Comprehensively designed residential development	Oppose	Delete rule and associated objectives and policies	350m2 is too small for Mangawhai there are no sites in Mangawhai that are this small, this level of intensification is inappropriate and not consistent with the characte or amenity values of the coastal township.



Note: This form is intended	Please complete a line for every submission point, adding as many additional lines as you need.  Note: This form is intended for brief submission only, if you wish to provide us with more in-depth content, please do this on a separate page and attach it to this form when returning it to us.					
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	Do you: • Support? • Oppose?	What decision are you seeking from Council? Select which action you would like: Retain Amend Add Delete	Reasons			
Example: Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai			
DEV- XLU- R8 - Any Activity Not Otherwise Provided for	Oppose	Delete or amend this rule	We undertake a number of rural uses on the site that we wish to continue to do so and have undertaken for 20 years such as farming (chickens, cattle, sheep, horses, pigs etc), horticultural activities, bonfires, incinerators and additional primary production activies, that potentially could get caught up in this rule. The sites at present are rural zoned and are large enough to require grazing and there is significant horticultural activity on the highly productive soils that is being undertaken and will continue to to do so, this rule may mean that consent is required for the basic agricultural uses in the residential zone			
Objectives and Policies	Oppose	Add in an objective and policy related to reverse sensitivity	At present the sites are zoned rural and land use is rural in nature such as farming and horticultural practices, there is the potential for adjacent neighbours to complain about the rural uses on site, therefore a objective and policy regarding reverse sensitivity is required to ensure that future owners can not and do not complain about adjacent farming and horticulture uses.			
DEV-XLU-R3 1(a) Visitor Accommodation	Oppose	Delete	The rule provides for up to 50 guests which will create additional noise, traffic and have an adverse effect on the character and amenity values of the area.			



Note: This form is intended	ed for brief submission	on point, adding as many add	us with more in-depth content,
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	Do you: Support? Oppose?	it to this form when returning it What decision are you seeking from Council? Select which action you would like: Retain Amend Add Delete	Reasons
Example: Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai
DEV XLU s4 3(a) Setbacks from Internal Boundaries	Oppose	Delete	3a rule enables townhouse type development, this is inappropriate in the area and will have adverse effects on the character and amenity values
DEV XG R1 1 (f) Excavation and Fill	Oppose	Amend	This part of the rule is not clear that earthworks consent can be applied for in the Coastal Hazard Overlay within the low density residential zone, earthworks should be allowed / consent required for - perhaps the NRC rules should be translated into the PPC for this area.
DEV XGR 31(b) Noise	Oppose	Amend	We undertake a number of rural uses on the site that we wish to continue to do so and have undertaken for 20 years such as farming (chickens, cattle, sheep, horses, pigs etc), horticultural activities, bonfires, incinerators and additional primary production activies, that potentially could get caught up in this rule. The sites at present are rural zoned and are large enough to require grazing and there is significant horticultural activity on the highly productive soils that is being undertaken and will continue to to do so, this rule may mean that consent is required for the basic agricultural uses in the residential zone. Therefore noise generated from these sites will not be typical residential noises such as tractors, horticultural machinery, dogs, farm animal noises etc as well as existing noise from established home occupations that are more rural in use.



Note: This form is intended	ed for brief submission	on point, adding as many add on only, if you wish to provide u it to this form when returning it	us with more in-depth content,
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	Do you: • Support? • Oppose?	What decision are you seeking from Council? Select which action you would like: Retain Amend Add Delete	Reasons
Example: Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai
DEV XGR 5 Hazardous Substances	Oppose	Amend	Need to amend to ensure that existing sites can continue to use and store fertilisers and farm sprays for existing rural uses
DEV XSUB S1-1 Density / Minimum Site Size and any relevant objectives and policies and other relevant rules	Oppose	Amend / Delete	Medium Density Residential Zone change to low density residential zone
DEV XSAUB S3 2 Esplanade and Other Reserve Enhancement	Oppose	Amend	Change the pest and weed control time frame from 6 months to 5 year by the developer and then in perpetuity by Council



Note: This form is intended	Please complete a line for every submission point, adding as many additional lines as you need.  Note: This form is intended for brief submission only, if you wish to provide us with more in-depth content, please do this on a separate page and attach it to this form when returning it to us.					
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	Do you: • Support? • Oppose?	What decision are you seeking from Council? Select which action you would like: Retain Amend Add Delete	Reasons			
Example: Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai			
DEV XSUB s8 Stormwater	Oppose	Amend	The stormwater rule talks about a stormwater management plan but there isn't a stormwater management plan? Can the stormwater management plan be prepared and in consultation with the current landowners as at the moment the development area has farm drains which discharge into our pond and then into a small drain and if this pond is going to be used for future stormwater there is going to potentially be significant adverse effects as this pond is at capacity now.			
DEV X REQ 2 Subdivision or Development that will enable 50 or more residential units or residential unit equivalent in the development area	Support in part		Support the walkway to the village			
DEV X table 1.2 Required Tank Volumes for On Site Residential Water Supply	Oppose	Amend	The table appears to require less water tanks the larger the dwelling rather than the other way which does not make any sense			



## Please complete a line for every submission point, adding as many additional lines as you need.

**Note:** This form is intended for brief submission only, if you wish to provide us with more in-depth content, please do this on a separate page and attach it to this form when returning it to us.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to (e.g. provision number, map)	Do you: • Support? • Oppose?	What decision are you seeking from Council? Select which action you would like: Retain Amend Add Delete	Reasons
Example: Zoning	Example: Support	Example: Retain zoning for proposal	Example: Supports the growth of Mangawhai
DEV XLU R5 1 a Oppose Home Stay Accomodation		Amend	Allow home stay accomodation in a separate dwelling on site for existing land owners

Your signature:	Date:
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic m	eans)

Please return this submission form and any attachments **no later than 5pm Monday 18 August 2025** to Kaipara District Council by:

**Posting to**: Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville 0340

Email to: planchanges@kaipara.govt.nz or

Hand-deliver to: Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville or 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

**PRIVACY ACT NOTE:** Please note that all information provided in your submission is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and may be published to progress the process for the private plan change and may be made publicly available.

			What decision are you seeking from Council?	
			Select which action you would like:	
			•Retain	
	The specific provisions of the proposal that		•Amend	
	my submission relates to (e.g. provision		•Add	
Submission Point	number, map)	Oppose or Support	•Delete	Reasons
1	DEV XP3 - 2 Transportation and Connectivity	Oppose	Amend the policy to require a roundabout	A right hand turn bay will be insufficient
	DEV XP4 - Biodiversity and Ecological Values Section E	Oppose	Amend this policy to enable the existing land owners to have cats in perpetuity	The submitters and property owners subject to the PPC do not agree with having the restriction on cats applied to them when they have cats and would like to continue so into the future. This rule is an unfair burden.
3	Business Neighbourhood and Mixed Use Centre Zone, Objectives and Policies and Rules	Oppose	Delete	Mangawhai has a number of commercial and business areas already which has created a level of urban commercial sprawl throughout Mangawhai and Mangawhai Heads and another commercial area will potentially emphases the adhoc commercial sprawl through the area and create adverse amenity effects.
4	DEV-XLU R4 - Home Business	Oppose	Amend the rule to allow the continuation of existing businesses by the existing landowners that currently operate from home to continue.	
4	DEV-XLU R4 - Home Business	Oppose	Amend the rule to allow the existing landowners to undertake home businesses at their discretion without needing to comply with this rule	We run home businesses or wish to in the future that wouldn't comply with this rule.
5	DEV - XLU - R6 - Comprehensively designed residential development	Oppose	Delete rule and associated objectives and policies	350m2 is too small for Mangawhai there are no sites in Mangawhai that are this small, this level of intensification is inappropriate and not consistent with the character or amenity values of the coastal township.

6	DEV- XLU- R8 - Any Activity Not Otherwise Provided for	Onnose	Delete or amend this rule	We undertake a number of rural uses on the site that we wish to continue to do so and have undertaken for 20 years such as farming (chickens, cattle, sheep, horses, pigs etc), horticultural activities, bonfires, incinerators and additional primary production activies, that potentially could get caught up in this rule. The sites at present are rural zoned and are large enough to require grazing and there is significant horticultural activity on the highly productive soils that is being undertaken and will continue to to do so, this rule may mean that consent is required for the basic agricultural uses in the residential zone
6	Provided for	Oppose	Delete or amend this rule	residential zone
				At present the sites are zoned rural and land use is rural in nature such as farming and horticultural practices, there is the potential for adjacent neighbours to complain about the rural uses on site, therefore a objective and policy regarding reverse sensitivity is required to ensure that future owners can not
			Add in an objective and policy related to reverse	and do not complain about adjacent farming
7	Objectives and Policies	Oppose	sensitivity	and horticulture uses.
				The rule provides for up to 50 guests which will create additional noise, traffic and have an adverse effect on the character and
8	DEV-XLU-R3 1(a) Visitor Accommodation	Oppose	Delete	amenity values of the area.
				3a rule enables townhouse type
				development, this is inappropriate in the area
	DEV XLU s4 3(a) Setbacks from Internal			and will have adverse effects on the
9	Boundaries	Oppose	Delete	character and amenity values

				This part of the rule is not clear that earthworks consent can be applied for in the
				Coastal Hazard Overlay within the low
				density residential zone, earthworks should
				be allowed / consent required for - perhaps
				the NRC rules should be translated into the
10	DEV XG R1 1 (f) Excavation and Fill	Oppose	Amend	PPC for this area.
				We undertake a number of rural uses
				on the site that we wish to continue to
				do so and have undertaken for 20 years
				such as farming (chickens, cattle,
				sheep, horses, pigs etc), horticultural
				activities, bonfires, incinerators and
				additional primary production activies,
				that potentially could get caught up in
				this rule.The sites at present are rural
				zoned and are large enough to require
				grazing and there is significant
				horticultural activity on the highly
				productive soils that is being undertaken
				and will continue to to do so, this rule
				may mean that consent is required for
				the basic agricultural uses in the residential zone. Therefore noise
				generated from these sites will not be
				typical residential noises such as
				tractors, horticultural machinery, dogs,
				farm animal noises etc as well as
				existing noise from established home
11	DEV XGR 31(b) Noise	Oppose	Amend	occupations that are more rural in use.
				·
				Need to amend to ensure that existing sites
				can continue to use and store fertilisers and
12	DEV XGR 5 Hazardous Substances	Oppose	Amend	farm sprays for existing rural uses
	DEV XSUB S1-1 Density / Minimum Site Size			
	and any relevant objectives and policies and			Medium Density Residential Zone change to
13	other relevant rules	Oppose	Amend / Delete	low density residential zone
				Change the pest and weed control time
	DEV XSAUB S3 2 Esplanade and Other Reserve			frame from 6 months to 5 year by the
14	·	Oppose	Amend	developer and then in perpetuity by Council

				The stormwater rule talks about a stormwater
				management plan but there isn't a
				stormwater management plan? Can the
				stormwater management plan be prepared
				and in consultation with the current
				landowners as at the moment the
				development area has farm drains which
				discharge into our pond and then into a small
				drain and if this pond is going to be used for
				future stormwater there is going to
				potentially be significant adverse effects as
15	DEV XSUB s8 Stormwater	Oppose	Amend	this pond is at capacity now.
	DEV X REQ 2 Subdivision or Development that			
	will enable 50 or more residential units or			
	residential unit equivalent in the development			
16	area	Support in part		Support the walkway to the village
				The table appears to require less water tanks
	DEV X table 1.2 Required Tank Volumes for On			the larger the dwelling rather than the other
17	Site Residential Water Supply	Oppose	Amend	way which does not make any sense
				Allow home stay accomodation in a separate
18	DEV XLU R5 1 a Home Stay Accomodation	Oppose	Amend	dwelling on site for existing land owners